

Co-Design Consultation on Borough Wide PSPOs - Consultation Report

1. The co-design consultation process ran between 10th and 31st January 2024. Prior to this period the matter of a borough-wide Alcohol control was discussed with stakeholders at meetings such as Ward Panels, LCSP, resident association meetings, Neighbourhood Watch Association meetings.
2. Two pop up events: Marcus Garvey Library and Wood Green Library 66 residents engaged with. Concerns raised:
 - a. Want dog fouling address in borough, especially parks.
 - b. Want alcohol control in parks, broken bottles left behind.
 - c. What is meant by co-design – not clear?
 - d. Dangerous dogs, dogs out of control of owners
 - e. Would PSPO be used to control protests as has been done in other boroughs.
 - f. Concerns that vulnerable people will be targeted.

During the consultation period officers also attended various resident/stakeholder based meetings to advise on the co-design consultation process, encourage participation and answer any additional questions residents had with regard to PSPOs and the proposals. These meetings included Ward Panels for Seven Sisters, St Ann's Bounds Green and Woodside Wards: Ladder Community Safety Partnership, Noel Park Residents Association meeting, Love Finsbury Park (Clear Hold Build). Key concerns raised related to:

- a. Drug use and drug dealing
- b. Aggressive begging
- c. Groups loitering (causing nuisance and intimidation)
- d. Safety of women
- e. Nuisance and disorder in parks

Details of the co-design consultation were also emailed to over 200 services, community groups and organisations, individual stake holders, faith groups and residents' groups to distribute to their users and members.

3. The online questionnaire form is detailed below:
 - Q1. What is your connection to Haringey: Live, Work or other
 - Q2. Which ward do you live in?
 - Q3. Do you agree that Haringey should have a borough-wide Alcohol Control PSPO (Not to commit alcohol related nuisance/anti-social behaviour in any public space within the borough)? YES/NO
 - Q4. Please provide any comments or feedback related to your opinions on the proposed borough-wide alcohol control PSPO.
 - Q.5 In addition to the borough-wide Alcohol control PSPO, please express your views on the consideration of the following: Select: strongly agree/Agree/Neutral/Disagree/Strongly disagree
 - Cause harassment, alarm, or distress to any individual(s) by committing anti-social behaviour (offensive language, acting in an aggressive manner).
 - Urinate/defecate, spit or litter in a public place.

- Be in possession of or misuse fireworks in any public space unless individually licensed by the council.
- Start or attempt to build any open fires or BBQs in Green Spaces (without prior written permission of the council).
- Engage in or promote; or encourage others to promote or deliver any unlicensed music events unless individually licensed in writing by the council.
- Engage in or encourage others to engage in any filming or making of music videos on council land or without prior written permission of the council.
- Misuse or share with others any illegal substances (spice, and other substances known for legal highs) or marijuana/weed in a public space; nor be in possession in a public place of any drug paraphernalia for example cannabis grinders or crack cocaine pipes.
- Smoke any tobacco or tobacco related product, smokeless tobacco product including electronic cigarettes, herbal cigarettes, within the boundary of the children's play areas.
- Buy and/or sell any merchandise on or within 7 metres of the Public Highway without the written consent from the council (illegal trading).
- Not to buy and/or sell event tickets on or within 7 metres of the Public Highway without prior written consent of the council (ticket touting).
- Congregate in a group of 3 or more people, where one or more person/s have been engaging in anti-social behaviour and at least one member of that group is within the designated area.
- Ride moped/motorbikes and cause alarm harassment or distress.

Q6. Please provide any comments or feedback related to your opinions on the proposed PSPOs in the space provided below:

Q7. Are there any other detrimental activities you think the local authority should restrict?

Q8. Would you like us to come and speak to your association or panel? If yes, please provide details below.

Q9 Full name

Q10. Name of Association/Panel meeting and indicative dates and times of meeting

Q11. Contact Number

Q12. Email Address

Q13. Would you like to be contacted for future consultations? If yes, please provide details below: YES/NO

Q14. Name

Q15. Email

Q16. Mobile

Q17. We want to understand as much as we can about the potential equality impact of any changes our services on residents who share protected characteristics (for example, sex, disability, age or ethnicity). Please tell us if you think there are things that we should or should not consider in the future, with equalities considerations in mind. These questions are optional.

Equalities Monitoring - Requesting details of protected characteristics.

4. Consultation Responses

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

4.1 175 Responses on line

4.2 94% of respondents lived in the Borough.

● I live in Haringey	165
● I work in Haringey	26
● Other	1




17 respondents lived and worked in Haringey.

The 'Other' related to a committee member for Crouch End Open Space


4.3. The highest number of responses came from Hermitage and Gardens and Noel Park Ward. The lowest responses came from Bounds Green, Bruce Castle and Woodside wards.

Which ward do you live or work in?



4.4. 79% of respondents were in favour of a borough wide alcohol control PSPO.

Do you agree that Haringey should have a borough-wide Alcohol Control PSPO (Not to commit Alcohol Related Nuisance/Anti-Social Behaviour in any public space within the borough)?



4.5. 90 Respondents provided further comments/feedback in relation to their opinions on the proposed borough wide alcohol control PSPO. Some key further comments are highlighted below (all responses can be found in the end of this document – pages 15 to 19)

Comments from those in favour of the borough wide alcohol control PSPO

- *Feel intimidated walking past a group drinking on residential street corners.*
- *It's a simple but effective proposal. Why limit the power to specific small areas when alcohol related problems can come and go anywhere at any time.*
- *Local people feel unsafe when 30 men congregate every night in park to drink alcohol and sell drugs.*
- *Without being borough wide the problem just gets moved along to other streets*
- *Alcohol control PSPO will help make the streets safer for us and our children.*
- *This will help prevent No Go areas and Antisocial Behaviour, such as broken bottles and urinating in public.*
- *Litter on the streets and parks of discarded cans and glass bottles is currently a social epidemic in North Tottenham. Not to mention the harassment received by drunk people.*
- *It is about time something was done about it. Parks are littered with empty beer cans and bottles.*
- *Anti-social behaviour is ruining Haringay.*
- *Unfortunately, as the nuisance caused by alcohol related behaviour seems to occur in disparate parts of the borough it will be easier to use a whole borough approach, which will enable authorities to deal quickly with issues arising in new places instead of having to apply for new orders when a problem arises.*
- *Needs to be borough wide to prevent displacement.*
- *Excessive drinking and drunken behaviour in public spaces is closely related to high levels of noise all of which disturb the peace and limit enjoyment of public spaces. Behaviour of those drinking excessively can also be very intimidating especially for a woman on her own.*
- *Simple and clear reference when needed. Much better than 11 separate PSPOs for affected areas which might miss a hotspot as new problem locations emerge.*

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

- *Substance abuse in public spaces can have the effect of blighting an area to the detriment of families and social cohesion. Whilst I might think that a borough-wide PSPO could counter ARN/ASB behaviours, I would also like to think that behind the 'stick' approach, that a comprehensive 'carrot' initiative to provide meaningful support and counselling for serial abusers is created at the same time. In particular I wish to refer to the combination of ARN/ASB and aggressive begging, particularly within retail, hospitality, and green areas.*
- *I feel much less safe in areas where street drinking is permitted so would welcome this to be borough-wide, so the drinkers do not just migrate to an area without the control. Obviously, I hope that those who are suffering for their own drinking will be offered support.*

Comments from those not in favour of the borough wide alcohol control PSPO

- *Blanket bans are too heavy and certain communities will fall foul of these restrictions. ASB drinking needs a targeted approach and underlying problems addressed. ASB just gets moved around.*
- *Banning people drinking pushes the problems out of sight.*
- *PSPO's should assist the current ASB and endeavour to assist those sleeping rough and taking hard drugs. There is no point in fining people in a recession, due diligence needs to happen where people are 'being a nuisance' because they have been failed by Haringey and Government legislation.*
- *PSPOs should be used to address areas of concern in individual locations, not across the borough. Ward councillors should be empowered to talk to residents and propose PSPOs for their areas. PSPOs should be voted on by local residents (e.g. through an online survey) before they are finalised and put into effect. However, without a proper mechanism for enforcement (which doesn't exist at the moment), PSPOs will prove ineffective (amounting to little more than window-dressing by the council).*
- *It would be overly controlling and negatively impact neighbourly and community relations.*
- *One person's Nuisance/Anti-Social Behaviour, is another's good afternoon/evening. I am reluctant to agree to the PSPO as they seem to be used largely against ethnic minority groups.*
- *It might be appropriate to have designated drinking sites as part of a holistic crime reduction strategy.*
- *I don't mind people drinking in public as long as they are not aggressive towards others.*
- *The council should be focusing on housing services and combatting root causes of anti-social behaviour.*
- *Drinking alcohol in public isn't in itself a public nuisance. Where this is a problem, it's the underlying social issues that should be addressed, not penalising people who are already struggling in their lives.*
- *I don't think we need to be giving police more powers to control innocuous behaviour like street drinking. If a serious crime is being coming by a drunk person, there is already legislation to cover that.*
- *Many homeless people use alcohol to ward off the cold etc. & shouldn't be penalised rather helped.*
- *There is no particular benefit for extending this to the whole borough - better to apply it as at present to hotspots and improve the reporting facilities to allow for the rapid response to public reporting of antisocial behaviour.*

4.6 Views in response to any additional prohibitions to the borough-wide Alcohol control PSPO

Denotes prohibitions which receive over 70% support from residents

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Agree totals	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree totals
Cause harassment, alarm, or distress to any individual(s) by committing anti-social behaviour (offensive language, acting in an aggressive manner).	67.7%	15.5%	83.2%	7.5%	4.3%	5%	9.3%
Urinate/defecate, spit or litter in a public place.	69.6%	15.5%	85.4%	6.8%	1.2%	6.8%	8%
Be in possession of or misuse fireworks in any public space unless individually licensed by the council	60.2%	20.5%	80.7%	10.6%	3.1%	5.6%	8.7%
Start or attempt to build any open fires or BBQs in Green Spaces (without prior written permission of the council).	50.9%	20.5%	71.4%	15.5	5.6	7.5	13.1%
Engage in or promote; or encourage others to promote or deliver any unlicensed music events unless individually licensed in writing by the council	42.8%	19.5%	62.3%	18.9%	6.3%	12.6%	18.9%
Engage in or encourage others to engage in any filming or making of music videos on council land or without prior written permission of the council	25.6%	18.8%	44.4%	26.9%	13.1%	15.6%	28.7%
Misuse or share with others any illegal substances (spice, and other substances known for legal highs) or marijuana/weed in a public space; nor be in possession in a public place of any drug paraphernalia for example cannabis grinders or crack cocaine pipes	60.9%	10.6%	71.5%	13.7%	6.8%	8.1%	14.9%
Smoke any tobacco or tobacco related product, smokeless tobacco product including electronic cigarettes, herbal cigarettes, within the boundary of the children's play areas	54%	21.7%	75.7%	14.3%	5.6%	4.3%	9.9%
Buy and/or sell any merchandise on or within 7 metres of the Public Highway without the written consent from the council (illegal trading).	37.7%	21.4%	56.1%	24.5%	6.3%	10.1%	16.4%
Not to buy and/or sell event tickets on or within 7 metres of the Public Highway without prior written consent of the council (ticket touting).	33.5%	23.6%	57.1%	28.6%	6.8%	7.5%	14.3%
Congregate in a group of 3 or more people, where one or more person/s have been engaging in anti-social behaviour and at least one member of that group is within the designated area	48.1%	18.1%	66.2%	14.4%	5.0%	14.4%	19.5%
Ride moped/motorbikes and cause alarm harassment or distress	59.6	19.9	79.5	10.3	5.1	5.1	10.2

4.7 Further comments or feedback related to respondents' opinions on the additional prohibitions proposed PSPO. Most of the additional comments came from people who either disagreed or strongly disagreed with the additional proposals. Some key comments are listed below, details of all comments can be found at the end of this document at pages 19 to 24.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

- *Poverty and lack of services and or provision leads to much of it. Have public toilets, have meaningful youth activities, mental health, substance abuse centres not just punishment and criminalising people!*
- *There are potential cultural sensitivities around banning events / BBQs / music in parks. These are public spaces and unless the council can be sure it has reached out to affected communities in a culturally appropriate/sensitive way such a blanket ban could be seen to discriminate against communities which are already disadvantaged, both economically and in terms of ability to engage with council processes.*
- *Haringey is a 'welcome borough' and as such should not seek to criminalise people who are simply undertaking social, cultural and recreational activities. Much ASB is due to the lack of affordable access to social spaces and places of entertainment which penalises the less well off in particular, and using PSPOs to penalise the poor in this way is discriminatory.*
- *The suggestions conflate behaviours which should be separated, e.g. use of offensive language and aggressive behaviour, spitting and defecating. In any case quite a few of the behaviours seem illegal anyway, so I don't see the need for any PSPOs.*
- *I hope that any PSPOs related to public defecation and littering would only be introduced once it can be clearly demonstrated that the council has provided appropriate, safe and clean access to bins and public toilets for all members of our community.*
- *Again, none of this has been proven to be affecting anyone or causing harm to anyone. It is ridiculous to think a music video is of any danger to anyone. Creativity is expensive and gatekept by those with more resource. Why would you keep someone from trying to make something out of their lives with the skills they have? People congregating again is very anti community. It is simply an excuse for the police to bully and harass groups of people how they are fit - which will disproportionately affect POC. Create community. Stop trying to tear it apart with more racist policing.*
- *This is a Draconian proposal that will mainly impact the people with the least power.*
- *I do not want more powers to be given to unaccountable individuals to curtail public life. There are already laws that cover these potential nuisances - and that is more than enough.*
- *This is a biased survey. It gives the police powers that can be used in a negative and discriminatory way against those who are homeless, begging and gathering in groups of 3 or more.*

4.8 Other detrimental activities that residents thought the local authority should restrict included:

- Drug dealing
- Drug use including cannabis, aerosols, gas cannisters. NOS, balloons
- Verbal abuse particularly to women
- prostitution
- Loitering in communal areas and stairwells in council blocks
- Aggressive begging, begging at traffic lights, outside shops, stations, around parks.

- Waste & Street enforcement
 - Bins blocking pavements.
 - Fly tipping, landlord dumping white goods, furniture.
 - graffiti
 - dumping of rubbish, Litter

- noise pollution
 - cars/motorbikes revving their engines unnecessarily.
 - Busking without consent.
 - Use of megaphone or microphone with speaker
 - amplified preaching and music.

- Vehicle related nuisance
 - Cyclists, e-bikes and e-scooters on pavements
 - Repairs of vehicles on the street/public highway/housing estate land
 - Residents blocking road to reserve parking spaces.
 - engines idling
 - e-bikes left blocking pavements.
 - hire bikes abandoned on pavements.
 - congregation of Deliveroo, food delivery drivers

- Dog Control
 - tackling dangerous dogs/aggressive dogs and their owners
 - training dogs for dog fighting
 - limiting number of dogs per dog walkers to 3 Allowing dogs
 - off the lead within 400m of a children's playground.
 - Not cleaning up dog foul if you are the owner. High fines for dog fouling

There were some suggestions/comments in relation to process and council action from residents disagreeing with any proposals, comments below:

- The authorities' own desire to restrict social life in the borough should be restricted!
- Using surveys online which exclude huge numbers of residents from inclusion to make and pass policy!
- Council powers to further oppress local people.
- I think the council should prioritise solving the root cause of these activities, rather than putting money into the sticking plaster solutions.
- Address issues of anti-social behaviour by addressing through local activities/centres for young people. Where are youth Services?

Details of all responses can be found at the end of this document at pages 24 to 27.

4.9 17 respondents indicated that they would like us to come and speak to their association or panel. This related to 7 associations/groups:

- Friends of Markfield Park – *next meeting was outside of the consultation period. Contact will be made and attendance arranged for future consultation.*
- St Ann's Safer Neighbourhood Ward Panel – *Panel meeting attended.*
- Garden Residents Association – *next meeting was outside of the consultation period. Contact will be made and attendance arranged for future consultation.*
- Seven Sisters Safer Neighbourhood Panel – *Panel meeting attended.*
- Noel Park Residents Association – *AGM meeting attended.*
- CREOS - *Contact will be made and attendance arranged for future consultation.*

- Haringey Street Kitchen - *Contact will be made and attendance arranged for future consultation.*

4.10 51% of respondents did ask to be contacted for future consultations and provided their contact details:



4.11 To understand as much as we can about the potential equality impact of any changes to our services, on residents who share protected characteristics (for example, sex, disability, age or ethnicity). Respondents were asked to tell us if they think there were things that we should or should not consider in the future, with equalities considerations in mind. There were 24 response which are detailed below:

48
Be neutral
Need to consider religious festivals in relation to some of the restrictions but these would need to be licensed / agreed with the community
religion, marital status
N/a
You have covered it
These issues affect all human beings equally. It is ludicrous to try to differentiate by race etc and trying to acquire personal and private information to do so is offensively intrusive.
not sure
No
Provide training and take precautions to avoid disproportionately targeting certain ethnic groups (eg young black males)
Without published data on the current PSPO impact it is very difficult to answer this question. However there is some research to suggest that different demographics are very differently policed and therefore a PSPO will have the same impact on marginalised groups as other legal measures.
PSPOs are likely to disproportionately impact marginalised residents with protected characteristics.
No
None
Yes
Yes, I know several older residents locally who have never used a computer or smartphone and who are severely disadvantaged when services are increasingly delivered on line. You must continue to provide for people like that you written and in person services.
Ok
?
None

Clamping down on antisocial car/motorbike use such as engine idling, and modified vehicles which are too noisy.

Yes I think there are issues you should take into account.

I am female. I need to feel safe in public spaces.


The effects of the climate and ecological emergence

We should all be expected to be considerate citizens and given support to be so if circumstances/inequalities have caused poor behaviour.

4.12 Further equalities information with regard to protected characteristics were optional and the following data obtained:

Age Group

● Under 17	0
● 17-21	0
● 22-29	1
● 30-39	10
● 40-49	16
● 50-59	15
● 60-74	30
● 75+	3



Gender

● Male	26
● Female	49
● Prefer not to say	1




Trans

Trans is an umbrella term to describe people whose gender identity is not the same as, or does not sit comfortably with, the sex they were assigned at birth.

Do you consider yourself to be trans?

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process



Disability


Under the Equality Act 2010, a person is considered to have a disability if she/he has a physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on her/his ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.

Are you disabled?




Which of the following impairment groups apply to you?
You may tick more than one box.

● Visual Impairment	0
● Physical Impairment	5
● Deaf / British Sign Language User	0
● Hearing impairment	2
● Mental health / mental distress i...	2
● Learning difficulties	1
● Neurodiverse	2
● Long term health condition / hi...	9
● Prefer not to say	2
● Other	2




National Identity *How would you describe your national identity?*

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process



Ethnicity What best describes your ethnic group?




Language What is your preferred language?

Of the 67 responses to this question all selected English

Marriage and Civil Partnership


Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

Single	9
Co-habiting	11
Separated	1
Widowed	2
Married	40
Civil Partnership	2
Divorced	5
Prefer not to say	7




Religion or belief *How do you describe your religion or belief ?*

Atheist	22
Christian	18
Jewish	2
Rastafarian	0
Buddhist	1
Hindu	0
Muslim	0
Sikh	1
No religion	21
Prefer not to say	7
Other	1



What is your sexual orientation?

Heterosexual	56
Bi	3
Gay	2
Lesbian	0
Prefer not to say	11
Other	0



Are you pregnant?

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

● Yes	2
● No	63
● No, but I have had a baby in the...	1
● Prefer not to say	6



Please provide any comments or feedback related to your opinions on the proposed Alcohol Control PSPO in the space provided below:

All comments from those in favour of the borough wide alcohol control PSPO

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

Tough times but Bruce grove has seen an uplift in street drinking and drug dealing. Having enforcement powers will help reduce this.

It only makes sense to implement PSPOs if you are going to enforce them. I am in favor of it, as we have issues in our ward outside Seven Sisters Station, Markfield Park, etc."

Limiting or prohibiting the consumption of alcohol in public spaces, including parks can help prevent incidents related to alcohol-induced anti-social behavior, reducing the risk to both staff and park visitors.

I think there should be a ban on hanging around drinking on Tottenham high rd. it will just move people elsewhere but this is a busy unavoidable public thoroughfare and people standing around drinking feels threatening

education to residents on how to report ASB as every time we try to report issues now, nobody wants to do anything about it. police don't care, noise team refuses to do anything. it's very frustrating

Huge issues within the borough especially in public spaces which are rendered unsafe unusable for residents green spaces are not accessible as are full and dangerous to walk past or use as these are habitually used by drug dealers alcohol abuse and therefore crime increases with loitering thefts prostitution etc

Alcohol and drug use seriously affect Haringey ladder residents and makes the area dirty, unhealthy and unsafe.

All sounds good. Please implement

Feel intimidated walking past a group drinking on residential street corners.

People abusing alcohol and drugs intimidate other PSPO

Needed to clamp down on drink/drug repeat offenders.

There are clear alcohol related issues in the area, anything that can be done to control these is a positive.

Needed to control damage to property and possible injury and death from violence

Controls are only effective when enforced . In my area there is widespread abuse of public spaces , drinking, ASB, drug dealing and theft which is not policed or addressed by council. Civil enforcement officers are only interested in parking offences. When p9ic3 ar3 called they don't turn up or turn up hours later after the offences.

In the new ce weather summer months there are Always groups of drinkers at the entrance that sit on the low wall into the carpark off Anchor Drive and they gather in the carpark, which is extremely annoying especially as there is a sheltered housing block with elderly and some nit too well residents, in 13 years we have never ever seen any of the drinkers being moved on plus there are very often men urinating on the wall by the car park entrance and the tree in Anchor Drive so much so that the urine saturates the base of the tree!

I don't want it to be racist - ie run by the police and unfair pspo put on vulnerable people. And if people need help that they are taken to the right spaces to get this help.

It's obvious I think

Please add smoking joints, inhaling nitrous oxide, dumping litter and allowing dangerous dogs to run loose.

It's a simple but effective proposal. Why limit the power to specific small areas when alcohol related problems can come and go anywhere at anytime.

As above, I support the proposal. In particular I would like it to apply in Stroud Green Rd and especially outside the off-licence on the corner of SGR and Albert Rd.

I am sick of collecting bottles of beer and other drinks from my front garden and to step on broken bottles on the pavement, especially near the roundabout where the Mossy Wheel pub and the clubs are

Local park, Brunswick Park, has become a drinking zone every night of the week.

Local people feel unsafe when 30 men congregate every night in park to drink alcohol and sell drugs.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

I've noticed a reduction of alcohol related issues such as litter caused by empty beer cans and men urinating in public. I would hate to see this return so I feel controlling policies need to remain in place.

Without being borough wide the problem just gets moved along to other streets

It is about time something was done about it. Parks are littered with empty beer cans and bottles

Most people are attracted to Highgate and Muswell Hill areas as they are known to be family friendly and have low crime rates. Alcohol control PSPO will help make the streets safer for us and our children.

Consistent problems for years in alley behind Inderwick Road N8 which houses a nursery. Drug-taking, drinking and noise most nights into the small hours and on one occasion arson (garden fence set on fire) and huge amounts of litter. LBH and police have taken no action despite regular visits to local Cllrs surgeries and numerous letters to local police

There are always men drinking Ferry Lane Estate, along the canal and on Tottenham marches. This has become very unsafe and damaging to the environment, these men leave their empty bottles and rubbish on the floor attracting rats/mice. I have also seen on multiple occasions men urinating in public areas in plain view.

My experience, related to the multi-use sports ground in Jarrow Road, the area around the bus stop and open under and either side of the bridge. All problems have been alcohol related.

Because the drinking of alcohol should be reserved for licenced premises

This will help prevent No Go areas and Antisocial Behaviour, such as broken bottles and urinating in public.

Consideration and respect to others ... Elderly and Women

In the last couple of years there has been a marked increase in the number of inebriated people on the streets of Stroud Green, in particular congregating on Oxford Road when someone having dumped a sofa was followed by someone else adding an armchair. Its not just alcohol they're consuming of course.

Lots of street drinkers in Downhills park and generally around this area

Litter on the streets and parks of discarded cans and glass bottles is currently a social epidemic in North Tottenham. Not to me mention the harassment received by drunk people

The borough has turned to alcoholic centre, where the drunks have become a menace and eyesores to the residents

Important work that needs to be funded and continued.

I do think it should be borough-wide, but importantly, NOT DRACONIAN. It should not be used against people who may be a bit 'merry' and may be a bit loud but are not causing anyone any trouble. This should not be used as yet another stealth tax.

We need to do something about public drinking and other drug use especially along the passage. The side streets between the garden roads is Sussex Gardens are also used for drug use including drinking

Anti-social behaviour is ruining Harringay

Main issue is public urination and late night noise.

There are plenty of spaces for people to drink in pubs and restaurants. Drinking in the street and causing a nuisance is very unnecessary.

On Eldon Rd and Paisley Rd I always see empty alcohol bottles. Some of those broken on the pavement, posing a serious threat.

In general the whole borough should be applied but in reality Muswell Hill where I work for example has a significantly lower need than Noel park where I live. There is quite a high amount of drug and alcohol use in Noel park, particularly on Darwin road where no houses front the road and in Russell park

This PSPO is only as good as it's implementation. In Noel Park substance and alcohols consumption is done in public spaces regularly.

I don't see much enforcement of this in Noel Park. Empty alcohol cans and bottles litter the street, especially in and around Russell Park, which is evidence enough.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

Unfortunately as the nuisance caused by alcohol related behaviour seems to occur in disparate parts of the borough it will be easier to use a whole borough approach, which will enable authorities to deal quickly with issues arising in new places instead of having to apply for new orders when a problem arises.

The proposed Alcohol Control PSPO is a great move which could help reduce the number of people being over the limit using alcohol.

Some drunk people can cause problems so it's best to have an Alcohol control PSPO to avoid crime.

While I agree with this, I'm not sure how well the existing PSPOs are actually enforced. Living in Noel Park, there is clearly street drinking happening because there is often broken glass from bottles on roads and pavements. There is also a lot of drug dealing in the area near Russell Park where I live - often in broad daylight, very obviously, with buyers going towards the park after purchase, presumably to take the drugs. So the PSPO is really only as good as the enforcement allows it to be.

I believe a total ban on alcohol in the CREOS area would not be appropriate, especially as it adjoins the Shepherds Cot, where events with alcohol are frequently held outdoors. Responsible social drinking with families and groups of friends enjoying a beer or a bottle of wine at a picnic is not an issue, in our opinion. It is the anti-social behaviour attached to alcohol that is the problem: bottles thrown into bushes, general littering, BBQ's, fires, drug use and threatening behaviour. This type of behaviour tends to occur during evenings, usually in the warmer months in the meadow and sports field. We do also have a resident rough sleeper on site.

Needs to be borough wide to prevent displacement

I live in an area (Noel Park) that has a PSPO but it is NEVER enforced. How does Haringey council plan to enforce over a larger area, or is this just a ploy to shift what little resources the council has to more engaged, affluent areas?

I currently live in an Alcohol Control area and I still see a lot of public nuisance that is alcohol related such as littering, loitering, public urination, hanging out in automobiles, etc. We I walk to tube station each morning for work I always see empty alcohol bottles and beer cans on the pavement and a top garden walls. So in effect I don't really think the PSPO will work. Haringey, I am under the impression, is a poorly funded borough and intense enforcement is key especially in the hours after midnight. That's when the streets go wild. Check out Darwin Road after midnight, lots of folks in cars doing gases. The population is in pain. They are the working poor.

Excessive drinking and drunken behaviour in public spaces is closely related to high levels of noise all of which disturb the peace and limit enjoyment of public spaces. Behaviour of those drinking excessively can also be very intimidating especially for a woman on her own.

We have had problems with people paring up in our apartment development and having a party with drinks and drugs around their cars

At the moment, women and children are at great risk

Will this give Haringey the power to tackle the problems in Brook Street where betting shop punters and drunk people use it as a toilet? If so, then I'm in favour.

As always the concern is that these measures are likely to put the most disadvantaged members of the community at even more of a disadvantage - people who spend "time in the jailhouse for having no dough" and are further penalised "for the crime of having nowhere to go." I would like to think that council and police officers have links to the relevant charities/social activist organisations, of which we have quite a few in this borough.

Public spaces should be and feel safe for everyone and alcohol consumption fuels antisocial and threatening behaviour. I am strongly opposed to the consumption of alcohol in public spaces.

Simple and clear reference when needed. Much better than 11 separate PSPOs for affected areas which might miss a hotspot as new problem locations emerge

Substance abuse in public spaces can have the effect of blighting an area to the detriment of families and social cohesion. Whilst I might think that a borough-wide PSPO could counter ARN/ASB behaviours, I would also like to think that behind the 'stick' approach, that a comprehensive 'carrot' initiative to provide meaningful support and counselling for serial abusers is created at the same time.

In particular I wish to refer to the combination of ARN/ASB and aggressive begging, particularly within retail, hospitality, and green areas.

I feel much less safe in areas where street drinking is permitted so would welcome this to be borough-wide so the drinkers do not just migrate to an area without the control. Obviously I hope that those who are suffering for their own drinking will be offered support.

Please provide any comments or feedback related to your opinions on the proposed Alcohol Control PSPO in the space provided below:

All comments from those NOT in favour of the borough wide alcohol control PSPO

Blanket bans are too heavy and certain communities will fall foul of these restrictions. ASB drinking needs a targeted approach and underlying problems addressed. ASB just gets moved around atm

Too restrictive of residents and visitors

banning people drinking pushes the problems out of sight.

PSPO's should assist the current ASB and endeavour to assist those sleeping rough and taking hard drugs. There is no point in fining people in a recession, due diligence needs to happen where people are 'being a nuisance' because they have been failed by Haringey and Government legislation.

PSPOs should be used to address areas of concern in individual locations, not across the borough.

Ward councillors should be empowered to talk to residents and propose PSPOs for their areas. PSPOs should be voted on by local residents (e.g. through an online survey) before they are finalised and put into effect. However, without a proper mechanism for enforcement (which doesn't exist at the moment), PSPOs will prove ineffective (amounting to little more than window-dressing by the council).

Criminalising already marginalised groups.

It would be overly controlling and negatively impact neighbourly and community relations.

One persons Nuisance/Anti-Social Behaviour, is anothers good afternoon/evening. I am reluctant to agree to the PSPO as they seem to be used largely against ethnic minority groups.

It might be appropriate to have designated drinking sites as part of a holistic crime reduction strategy

I don't mind people drinking in public as long as they are not aggressive towards others.

Borough-wide PSPOs are tempting for the council to implement but I am concerned that they seek to create a situation where a wide variety of behaviour is criminalised in public spaces. PSPOs should remain targeted to particular problem areas. Does the council have the resources to enforce the PSPO across the entire borough? Does the council have statistics showing that anti-social behaviour is a problem in areas not currently covered by a PSPO? Does the council train its officers in the law and correct application?

We need more data on how the previous PSPO has been used.

Specifically the demographics of those fined, and a demonstration of any benefits brought to the community. Why is this needed? what specifically are the goals?

I think it's very important that any alcohol related nuisance systems aren't used as tools to police vulnerable groups in our community. The priority should be supporting vulnerable people, including those who have alcohol abuse disorders, or whose alcohol abuse is a system of other vulnerabilities.

If this means that nobody can drink any amount of alcohol in public it is too restrictive and authoritarian. Who decides what is a nuisance? What about a birthday picnic in a park with a drink?

Nobody is hurting anybody. You don't create social spaces for people. You don't find the area. You don't consult on the things or the places people would actually enjoy community in. You shut down or aggressively police places where people can peacefully socially gather & it is always targeted at minorities. This points to systemic racism and violence against these groups. If a couple of friends want to drink in the park and then head home after, that's community. It's not harm. Leave them alone or create spaces they can freely hang out. Create things for the community you reside over. Create community rather than divide community. Stop trying to terrify, intimidate and be bully

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

certain demographics - whether across class or race - with police presence. Be better community builders. Get creative for once rather than repeating the status quo.

I believe PSPOs could be used to target vulnerable people in the borough, and do not agree with the approach to criminalise these behaviours. The council should be focusing on housing services and combatting root causes of anti-social behaviour.

The council has been supportive of our work at Haringey Street Kitchen with the homelessness and those experiencing food poverty in the borough. Recently we have seen a shift in attitudes with the removal of some benches near our table (which we are still waiting to hear back with more explanation).

We need support services for people with addiction, not punishment

Drinking alcohol in public isn't in itself a public nuisance. Where this is a problem, it's the underlying social issues that should be addressed, not penalising people who are already struggling in their lives.

There is already laws in place that covers Antisocial behaviour and can enforced by police without council involvement.

This power could be easily be mis-used against a harmless social gathering where people were enjoying some alcoholic drinks

I don't think we need to be giving police more powers to control innocuous behaviour like street drinking. If a serious crime is being coming by a drunk person, there is already legislation to cover that.

This is a biased survey. It gives the police powers that can be used in a negative and discriminatory way against those who are homeless, begging and gathering in groups of 3 or more.

Many homeless people use alcohol to ward off the cold etc. & shouldn't be penalised rather helped

There is no particular benefit for extending this to the whole borough - better to apply it as at present to hotspots and improve the reporting facilities to allow for the rapid response to public reporting of antisocial behaviour

There are some events where people drink alcohol but with consideration for others. A borough-wide ban risks such an individual getting into the criminal justice system.

Application of PSPOs is not done in a consistent manner. There is racial and discriminatory bias. So NO.

I am answering no because I celebrate my late son's birthday every year and we drink champagne in my local park where his Memorial Bench is. Also people like to have picnics in our parks which may involve alcohol and as long as they are of age, drink responsibly and dispose of their rubbish in the correct manner it should be allowed. I think that people gathering to consume alcohol can lead to disorder and some restrictions should be applied.

Please provide any comments or feedback related to your opinions on the proposed PSPOs in the space provided below:

My quibble is about filming in public places

Too restrictive- people can take offence from a point of misunderstanding . There are ample police powers - feels like we're not helping vulnerable people just taxing them with these PSPOs so that we drive them elsewhere & fund the Enforcement Officers which are budgeted to start from April 2025!

Restrictions can contribute to a safer environment and lessen the threat or harm to staff and park users.

these suggestions seem draconian

A lot of the above categories could be split as some of the punishments do not fit the offence

PSPOs should be used to address areas of concern in individual locations, not across the borough.

Ward councillors should be empowered to talk to residents and propose PSPOs for their areas. PSPOs should be voted on by local residents (e.g. through an online survey) before they are finalised and put into effect. However, without a proper mechanism for enforcement (which doesn't exist at the moment), PSPOs will prove ineffective (amounting to little more than window-dressing by the council).

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

Poverty and lack of services and or provision leads to much of it. Have public toilets, have meaningful youth activities, mental health, substance abuse centres not just punishment and criminalising people!

None

residents need to know who to contact in the first instance to tackle ASB, as we have had quite a lot of difficulty getting anyone (incl. police) to do anything about a number of wide ranging issues

All sounds good. Please implement

How would this be enforced and resourced?

Acting in an aggressive manner, what does this mean? If I am speaking loudly with friends and expressing myself, that can and often has been viewed as aggressive by people passing by, so who is judging this.

BBQs - There should be designated BBQ areas in our parks and in our open space if appropriate. When it comes to BBQs if we have a few good weather days, we might have a BBQ. They may not have time to get written permission. You say that PSPO's puts conditions or restrictions on an area which apply to everyone, but it will disproportionately affect those who don't have access to anywhere else, ie, not everyone goes to pubs or have access to a private club where they can sit with friend, talk, drink and have a laugh.

Some packes are currently no go because of antisocial behaviour

Situation in Harringay is getting desperate

There are drug deals happening in plain sight most days on our road (Conway Road, between Glenwood and Avondale) and this should absolutely be more of a priority. Similarly, cracking down on those motorbike and moped riders who regularly endanger others by riding on the pavements to circumvent the LTN cameras.

Concentrate on causing harm to persons or property

As above

All that I have strongly agree with 100% needs to be enforced because as I already stated in 13 years we have never seen anyone enforced with ANY of the anti social behaviour within Anchor Drive we even have cars playing radios very loudly late at night with their car doors open!

Music and filmmaking provide Haringey with positive representation! It's weird that you would want to stop that. Cannabis isn't that bad but crack is bad and the people on it clearly need further help instead of just pspo. They need mental health and addiction support. Itd be better if there was support for this instead of making it illegal for them to be around - this is superficial and not addressing the route cause.

Congregating in a group isn't worth a pspo. Where are people supposed to meet? Why is that a threat? There is racist thinking behind this.

There are potential cultural sensitivities around banning events / BBQs / music in parks. These are public spaces and unless the council can be sure it has reached out to affected communities in a culturally appropriate/sensitive way such a blanket ban could be seen to discriminate against communities which are already disadvantaged, both economically and in terms of ability to engage with council processes

The suggestions conflate behaviours which should be separated, e.g. use of offensive language and aggressive behaviour, spitting and defecating. In any case quite a few of the behaviours seem illegal anyway, so I don't see the need for any PSPOs.

N/a

They protect the law abiding majority of people in the borough. Why should the behaviour of a tiny minority of people adversely impact the lives of others, their children and their ability to work and be productive at work.

I would hope this would also apply to pavement cyclists who are an increasing menace. Ditto cyclists anywhere who ride without lights, ignore traffic lights and other signs and signals, including all types of pedestrian crossing.

any antisocial behaviour should be discouraged!

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

I don't feel too confident that the Police have the resources to manage this policy. It's been many years since I've seen police patrolling (on the beat) Since I've lived in the area I'm frequently observing issues that require a police or social services response.

Drug dealing and then the associated crimes and antisocial behaviour linked to the drug takers is a daily issue in our area

I support your proposals. Remove all damped electric bikes which are a menace. Introduce fines and confiscated all electric scooters.

Whilst I agree that the behaviours outlined above can be objectionable I am concerned that the lack of targeted action in one geographical area would result in discrimination against a particular part of society (for example youths who may be walking together/playing sport in a park in a perfectly legitimate way but possibly being loud and excited). We had examples during the coronavirus restrictions where powers were misused or misunderstood by the police and other officers and fixed penalties were issued incorrectly. Many people do not have the knowledge and resources to challenge these and will be penalised. Far better for the council to analyse why this behaviour happens in the first place and deal with the root causes.

all proposals are excellent

Our public spaces need to feel safe and free for all to enjoy without hinderance or distress

We also need an explanation of what benefits beyond the law that this PSPO would offer.

In addition this form is not accessible with acronmyn and long questions that will not clearly display on phones.

Have more respect ...I was shoulder pushed in Gordon Road, on three occasions, when going out for my early walk, and coffee ☹️ by the same unknown person...the third time, I was shoulder pushed into a fence, near my home.. they continued to walk on.... CCTV required in Gordon Road/Woodfield Road

I do worry about the bbq's in the park - although banned that seems to have no effect on their use - particularly given the increasingly dry Summers we are experiencing. I am also concerned at plans to increase the number of access points into the park - I walk there daily with my dog and cannot count the number of times I have seen people have their phones stolen out of their hands by young men on either bikes or scooters who already have any number of ready exit points.

Fed up of trying to dodge spit and urine, while walking with my two year old!

Also can we see something on dogs on leads! As also fed up with seeing dangerous and out of control dogs at the rec!!

You should really walk around Tottenham marshes or Hartington Park to see the damage done by drunks. It's even worse in the summer months

I belief if given the right equipment and gadget with backing of law from the central (Parliament) it will makes enforcement to be easy.

I hope that any PSPOs related to public defecation and littering would only be introduced once it can be clearly demonstrated that the council has provided appropriate, safe and clean access to bins and public toilets for all members of our community.

One of these should be on Chapmans Green in Perth Road to stop the use of drink and drugs in the park but who / how would it be monitored/policed?

I feel cctv is underused and would feel safer with it.

Businesses should be made to take more responsibility for trash and littering related to take always

Also gambling businesses should be restricted there are too many open too late

I don't feel the issues relating to events are the same order as they are irregular

Gangs and violent dogs terrifying communities.

I think it would be a worthwhile and necessary order to have in place

PSPO Control should be expanded to also cover other cases of anti-social behaviour mentioned above especially fly tipping, loud music, weed/drug use in public places, loitering and begging.

The amount of litter that people using substances and alcohol generate on the streets of Noel Park is considerable and happens consistently.

I'm not sure why this is a consultation. The council shouldn't be seeking opinions on whether antisocial behaviour should be stamped out or not. The real problem is funding the actual prevention and monitoring of the behaviour.

Again, none of this has been proven to be affecting anyone or causing harm to anyone. It is ridiculous to think a music video is of any danger to anyone. Creativity is expensive and gatekept by those with more resource. Why would you keep someone from trying to make something out of their lives with the skills they have? People congregating again is very anti community. It is simply an excuse for the police to bully and harass groups of people how they are fit - which will disproportionately affect POC. Create community. Stop trying to tear it apart with more racist policing.

Sadly all of those behaviours with the exception of the music/videoing and selling event tickets are things that I have seen in my ward and in the very local area in the past 12 months. The local police claim that they don't have the powers to deal with them and move people away from the areas where their behaviour is impacting negatively on ordinary residents trying to go about their normal every day activities.

I agree very strongly with the proposed PSPO as I am currently a victim of anti-social behaviour which has over stepped the boundaries of my human rights. Currently have numerous people including those in my neighbourhood who appear to have some connection via Wifi that connect to my home hence I am being viewed in all parts of my property. Have been a victim of Cyber attack and hence the matter has escalated. I have no privacy as people stand at the bus stop pretending their main visit to the bus stop is to wait for the bus but have been seen flashing their camera lights which comes into my property. This happens in the mornings when I go to the bathroom. An influx of employers most of whom are one nationality who have started working at the Loco Food Centre opposite my property have been involved and constantly monitor inside my property via their phones. This came to my attention one day when I accidentally went to my kitchen window to look outside and saw employee from store who was on ground level whose back was more towards my property turned and looked up directly to my property which is a few floors up in the building. The person was on the opposite side on ground level and appeared to know exactly when i was standing at my window. The harassment has been going on for months and as a result I have to be doing things in the dark which is distressing for me. School children from Park View Academy also stand at the bus and do the same. People from the block of flats above Loco Food Centre also shine very bright lights towards my property on weekends so I cannot see and have to remain in the dark. It also happens at the back of the property on weekends where numerous little shacks have been built to house people at the back. I am harassed in the mornings as I walk to the bus stop to go to work where a group of people normally the same set of people silently walk in the opposite direction to me on the pavement harassing me silently - some with dogs. Others mask using small children as if taking them to school, or pushing the child in a pram whilst following me. At Turnpike Lane in the evenings a number of people continually pass me and use their phone number to take my pictures. They hold the phone to their side or pretend as if they are looking at themselves via their phone and then take my picture. They appear to have a head person called a handler that directs them as to what kind of harassment the individual should go through on a daily basis. Hope this helps.

One on litter is sorely needed in Noel Park. In particular, there is a major issue with cars parking up at night, eating takeaway food and then chucking rubbish and uneaten scraps, sauces etc out of the window onto the street. Not only does this make the area feel like a giant bin, it also draws foxes and vermin - we now have a major fox problem in our garden which I suspect began with the regular dropping of litter from cars alongside. This would be really easy to enforce as it is easy to get a car numberplate. That said, as for my previous response, it's only as good as the enforcement and I imagine there are already laws / powers against littering. I have reported this issue many times, with photographic and even video evidence, and am not aware of any action having been taken. Will a PSPO make this more likely / easier? If so then please prioritise this in Noel Park ward as I know other neighbours and streets have the same issues.

A number of these questions don't seem relevant to the area that falls with CREOS and many that are too vague. As our area is vastly wooded the committee would agree with most of the above apart from the questions regarding urinating, which if done discreetly behind a tree has traditionally been acceptable and it's not even bad for the environment apparently. The 'unlicensed music events' question some voted a strong disagree to. As a thank you to our members, we have an annual CREOS summer picnic whereby we have local musicians playing for a couple of hours in the afternoon. We also feel any negative action could spoil the lovely idea of a couple of musicians playing their guitars at a picnic, or the delightful female Acapulco trio once chanced upon sitting on logs in the Hanley car park. Unfortunately, the questionnaire has not specified whether the events they would want to prevent are the ones organised in advance and done for profit or anything else such as small community events.

We strongly agree with the illegal substances question, as there have been so many instances of our space being used for illegal trading of drugs and groups abusing drugs and littering and polluting as a result. We feel that officers should be able to use their discretion to what ASB is. We have a homeless sleeper on site who desperately needs to be homed for his own security, as well as the security and sanitary of the CREOS space.

There should be something in place to stop drug users and unwanted nonresidents hanging about on our stairwells, in our blocks, urinating, pooing, leaving lots or rubbish and drugs stuff.

This feels like a cynical move on the part of the council to say you're doing more while actually doing nothing.

I especially dislike car idling and loud music being played in autos. I also dislike the neighbourhood being used as a training session for learner drivers. Also all the loitering up and down Lordship Lane. It's scary and very dirty.

Priorities for an older women on her own are alcohol, drugs, harassment, intimidation, excessive noise & music.

we are at the edge of Ally pally and mostly people are enjoying the area and some noise and high spirits from people passing through is to be expected. However what gave a lot of distress to residents and was not thought through perhaps, was one of the do not gather orders on our neighbouring estate, so the anti social behaviour and crowd just moved one block over, it took a while for us to work this out. The community police sorted it out in the end but only after a few weeks and it was all around the nitrogen gas use, there were people rolling around in the road all day & night it was very stressful.

Enforce asap

I don't see any point in enforcing the use of BBQs in parks unless Haringey provides spaces for the public to do this safely by installing designated areas for this. Why punish people who don't have gardens? Are they not allowed to enjoy BBQs?

This is a Draconian proposal that will mainly impact the people with the least power

I would simply repeat my concern that the PSPOs might be used to further disadvantage the most disadvantaged members of our community. The officers enforcing regulations need to be aware of the various sources of support available to help them and those they have to deal with.

As mentioned above, many of the proposed PSPOs relate to social issues and will disproportionately affect people with mental health and substance abuse problems, which should be priority to address rather than the symptoms.

To police behaviour in all public areas seem both impossible but also questions who has a right to them. Filming or low level selling of items shouldn't be dependent on having the money to be able to get a license or rent a space to do this, unless there's something inherently damaging in what is involved.

Reasons people congregate outside to socialise are many and varied. If you live in overcrowded or poor conditions or have difficult circumstances at home, why should you not be allowed to meet others outside, without having to spend money to go to a pub or restaurant. There's a massive lack of community spaces for people to meet without having to buy something, so how can it be right to regulate against people socialising, even if it sometimes bothers others.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

The right of peaceful assembly in public spaces is essential in a democracy, but it must be exercised responsibly and with respect to the rights of other people to go about their business peacefully.

I do not want more powers to be given to unaccountable individuals to curtail public life. There are already laws that cover these potential nuisances - and that is more than enough.

The language of these PSPOs is very vague, which gives police broad powers to restrict fundamental rights like freedom of assembly and freedom to use public space. High risk they will use them to harass people they don't like the look of. Police don't need these powers. Creating bylaws to stop people using barbecues in parks is petty nanny state behaviour

This is a biased survey. It gives the police powers that can be used in a negative and discriminatory way against those who are homeless, begging and gathering in groups of 3 or more.

All proposals very welcome and will make quality of life better across the borough

We need better behaviour all round. I am less bothered about people trying to make videos, promote concerts, do something creative (if would be a shame if artistic endeavour were stifled due to rules). What is really distressing me at the moment is the uncontrolled cycling, e-biking etc on both road and pavement; people are just riding wherever and however they wish and as a pedestrian I've had a number of near misses despite being extremely careful and crossing only at the lights - it's getting to be quite frightening out there.

All these behaviours are the responsibility of the police to manage - the police should be engaging more with the community and have a greater presence on the street (where the the neighbourhood police go?) this seems like an attempt to remove this responsibility from the police and put it onto local councils.

The wording is too comprehensive

I will comment one of the above - riding and speed, there is no adequate enforcement of the Highway Code. No point having 20mph road if there is no real deterrence.

Are there any other detrimental activities you think the local authority should restrict?

Verbal abuse particularly to women

Inequality

Dog Control Measures in our Parks and Green spaces :such as leash requirements and waste disposal.

XL bullies - more dog parks and licences should be enforced

Bins blocking pavements; e-bikes left blocking pavements; noise pollution (e.g. cars/motorbikes revving their engines unnecessarily, police helicopters flying low over particular locations for long periods, etc), dumping of rubbish.

Dangerous dogs and their owners

Litter if not already included.

Drug dealing and prostitution

Flytipping, including residents/landlords dumping unwanted furniture/white goods.

No

Weed smoking and drug dealing in public

Possession of weapons e.g. knives

Fly tipping and litter.

No just have people enforcing the PSPO's!

Not cleaning up dog foul if you are the owner.

No. You've covered ity

Littering. Outside cafes etc near Furlong pub on Colney Hatch between Wilton and Greenham Road is beyond disgusting with takeaway and pizza boxes, bottles and smoking waste

Noise from motorbikes. Dog fouling and more importantly enforcement for dog fouling. Cold calling at residential addresses.

Pavement cycling of any description. Failure by cyclists of any type to observe road rules.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

engine idling. I am not sure this is considered an anti-social behaviour but it should be. It affects the community, the air that we breathe and it is especially toxic when it happens nearby our schools. It is not different from smoking near parks and schools where there are kids. I find outrageous that buses are left with the engine running forever at the bus stop at the roundabout in Muswell Hill.

I've had issues in the past with repair work on motor vehicles in the street taking place. This and a slow return of the trend of some residents to use wheelie bins to reserve parking spots in the road. And the never ending fly tips and litter.

Sitting in a car with motor running especially in residential streets increasing air pollution

Skateboarding unless in designated areas. Speeding cycles, without bells.

Smoking marijuana ... Begging and abuse to Women

Although the recent ban on their sale seems to have stopped this particular practice, the weather hasn't encouraged the usual group of young girls sniffing aerosols and laughing hysterically at the end of the Parkland Walk just beside the bridge at the end of Oxford Road

Dogs on leads

Dog poop

none, but with the backing from the Parliament.

Aggressive dogs and dog fouling not picked up by unreasonable owners

I think the council should prioritise solving the root cause of these activities, rather than putting money into the sticking plaster solutions.

Loud cars / bikes on residential streets late at night. Drug dealing on the street (Fife Road and the park entrance on Perth road)

Dog fouling is a massive issue

Violent dogs

Fly tipping, loud music, drug use, loitering, begging

People sitting idly in their cars/vans with the engine running, sometimes music playing loudly. Not to mention antisocial vehicles with stupidly loud engines.

No.

Yes you should include electric bikes and scooters which increasingly are ridden on the pavements, and on roads in an often dangerous manner.

Yes. People using their mobile app to download a tracking device that looks like the underground map. They are a number of people especially young people who are using it in the day on buses and at nights outside Wood Green station, at Turnpike Lane bus stop on the side that goes towards Finsbury park. The lines move as the person moves tracking the individual. I witness it all the time. They are able to wait for the person at various locations and know how near or far the individual is at any given moment. Undercover operation is required to catch these people who range from school children, young adults, adults and elderly people. There should perhaps be a warrant available to search phones on the spot to catch the perpetrators. It's very distressing and needs to stop. This happens outside my gate every morning and I am timed as to when I leave my property. The street will be very quiet and then as I leave my home there is a high traffic of people suddenly appearing from different angles. They are quiet and don't speak at all but only the contents on their phone could give them away. I believe they should be restricted from using their phones to track other except they can prove it is a member of their family

See above, if not covered under littering. Generally sitting in cars overnight is perhaps something that could be restricted?

Potentially cycling through the CREOS site, as the paths are very fragile.

No loitering in communal areas and stairs on council blocks

Idling cars. While people sit in their cars drinking & partying all night. The fumes come through my bedroom window all night & the noise keeps us awake.

Sale of fireworks (illegalise them), loud dirt bikes, loitering in cars

See answer to qu 6.

Appendix 2: Outcomes of Co-design Process

The nitrogen gas is still an issue in that I see piles of canisters at the roadsides, they are a hazard and also the producers need to take responsibility for their sale and littering of the streets by these heavy items, not to mention the antisocial behaviour that can take place.

Drug dealing. Weed smoking. Loud music

Drivers who idle engines - especially near/outside schools. Currently this is not being enforced by Haringey. Also, car and motorbikes which are really loud and have been modified in such a manner as to be extremely noisy.

Fly-tipping - perhaps the Council should charge less for the removal of heavy items.

Idling

Blocking of footpaths with dustbins, abandoned hire bikes, businesses advertising with pavement sandwich boards. Excessively loud music in public spaces. Barbecue restaurants adding to particulate air pollution.

Council powers to further oppress local people

Property developers building ugly expensive flats around Manor House

Police powers need to be considered in relation to social justice. Government must fund councils so they can protect the vulnerable.

riding scooters, bicycles etc on the pavement

All the above plus littering and fly-tipping.

No

Abandoning hire bikes where they block the pavement.

See above

Foul language and bad manners.